

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,775 ·	06/05/2001	Ashvinkumar J. Sanghvi	MS1-591US	6246
22801 7	590 12/24/2003		EXAM	INER
LEE & HAYES PLLC			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500				DARED MILLIONED
SPOKANE, W	/A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	4
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14

			lacksquare				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/875,775	SANGHVI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mohammad A Siddiqi	2126				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory piere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the reply adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on (	<u>05 June 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 May 2001</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) * (	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume.  2. Certified copies of the priority docume.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not re	plication No eceived in this National Stage eceived.				
s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign language in the fo	e first sentence of the specifica	tion or in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don eference was included in the first sentence	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Assas	14(5)						
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension Su	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-38 are presented for examination.

## **Election/Restrictions**

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-12, drawn to demand based messaging, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
  - II. Claims 13-24, and 33-38 drawn to binding, classified in class 709, subclass 320+
  - III. Claims 25-32, drawn to the schema, classified in class 707, subclass 100+
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions I, II, and III are related subcombinations and disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as in a System lacking binding, schema, particulars. Invention II has separate utility such as in a System lacking schema, particulars. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Also the restriction requirement

Art Unit: 2126

is based on the interpretation that every dependent claim is dependent on the preceding independent claim (note Applicant's claim numbering).

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because as shown by their different searches and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with Steven R. Sponseller (# 39384) on December 05, 2003, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claim 1-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 25-38 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/875,775

Art Unit: 2126

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 9. Claims 1,2,4-12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath).
- 10. As per claim 1, Groath discloses an event management system (col12, lines 33-40) comprising:

an email consumer configured to handle email messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

a paging consumer configured to generate a page message messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

an active scripting consumer configured to execute at least one script messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

a log file consumer configured to record information in a log file messages (col 11, lines 35-40);

Art Unit: 2126

an event log consumer configured to log messages to an event log messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 41-63); and a command line consumer configured to launch at least one process (col 19, lines 19-22)

- 11. As per claim 2, Groath discloses the email consumer is an SMTP consumer (col 140, lines 40-45).
- 12. As per claim 4, Groath discloses a forwarding consumer to forward events (col 10, lines 34-43).
- 13. As per claim 5, Groath discloses the email consumer sends an email message in response to receiving an event (col 10, lines 53-63).
- 14. As per claim 6, Groath discloses the paging consumer will page a telephone number with a message in response to receiving an event (col 10, lines 47-63).
- 15. As per claim 7, Groath discloses the active scripting consumer executes a predefined script when an event is received by the active scripting consumer (col 10, lines 34-63).

Art Unit: 2126

16. As per claim 8, Groath discloses the log file consumer records information to a log file when an event is received by the log file consumer (col 10, lines 26-33).

- 17. As per claim 9, Groath discloses the event log consumer logs a message to an event log when an event is received by the event log consumer (col 10, lines 26-33).
- 18. As per claim 10, Groath discloses the command line consumer launches a process in response to receiving an event (col 49, lines 19-25).
- 19. As per claim 11, Groath discloses events in the event management system are represented as objects (col 119, lines 23-45).
- 20. As per claim 12, Groath discloses each consumer in the event management system is represented as a class (col 78, lines 5-10).

Art Unit: 2126

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

21. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath) in view of Murray (Windows NT Event Logging by James D. Murray published on September 1998)

22. As per claim 3, Groath discloses Event manager is installed on NT operating system (col 83, 48-56)

Groath is silent about the event log consumer is an NT event log consumer. However, Murray discloses the event log consumer is an NT event log consumer (Chapter 2, Microsoft API's are available to interface with NT event log service).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention to use Windows NT event logging services, Windows NT logging service API 's are well tested, robust and provides automatic formatted viewing capability.

#### Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Art Unit: 2126

U.S. Patent 6,658,465 to Tauboul et al.

U.S. Patent 6,662,195 to Langseth et al.

U.S. Patent 6,564,342 to Landan et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A Siddiqi whose telephone number is (703) 305-0353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-5404.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MAS

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100